UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

Tyler Harris,

v.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00231-APG-BNW

Plaintiff,

ORDER AND REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Los Angeles County,

Defendants.

Plaintiff brings this lawsuit and moves to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP). *See* ECF No. 1. Plaintiff submitted the affidavit required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) showing an inability to prepay fees or costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the Court will grant his request to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court now screens Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 1-1).

I. Analysis

A. Screening standard

Upon granting a request to proceed *in forma pauperis*, a court must screen the complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). In screening the complaint, a court must identify cognizable claims and dismiss claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Dismissal for failure to state a claim under § 1915(e)(2) incorporates the standard for failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). *Watison v. Carter*, 668 F.3d 1108, 1112 (9th Cir. 2012). To survive § 1915 review, a complaint must "contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *See Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009). The court liberally construes pro se complaints and may only dismiss them "if it appears beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief." *Nordstrom v. Ryan*, 762 F.3d 903, 908 (9th Cir. 2014) (*quoting Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678).

In considering whether the complaint is sufficient to state a claim, all allegations of material fact are taken as true and construed in the light most favorable to the plaintiff. *Wyler Summit P'ship v. Turner Broad. Sys. Inc.*, 135 F.3d 658, 661 (9th Cir. 1998) (citation omitted). Although the standard under Rule 12(b)(6) does not require detailed factual allegations, a plaintiff must provide more than mere labels and conclusions. *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). A formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action is insufficient. *Id.* Unless it is clear the complaint's deficiencies could not be cured through amendment, a pro se plaintiff should be given leave to amend the complaint with notice regarding the complaint's deficiencies. *Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995).

B. Screening the Complaint

Plaintiff's complaint contains few and vague factual allegations. *See* ECF No. 1-1. In essence, he is alleging the County of Los Angeles is stealing money and kidnapping people. Even liberally construing Plaintiff's complaint, it does not state sufficient factual allegations about the underlying dispute and the defendants' role in the matter to state a claim.

More importantly, he intends to assert claims for the violation of two criminal statutes—
18 U.S.C. § 1951 and 18 U.S.C. § 875. Criminal statutes do not confer a private cause of action unless Congress specifies that it intends to create one. *See Cannon v. Univ. of Chicago*, 441 U.S. 677, 717–18 (1979) (Rehnquist, J., concurring); *see also Aldabe v. Aldabe*, 616 F.2d 1089, 1092 (9th Cir. 1980). There is no evidence of legislative intent to create a private cause of action relating to these criminal statutes. Because Plaintiff does not have a private cause of action, no amendment can cure this defect. The Court recommends that Plaintiff's claims be dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim.

II. CONCLUSION

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma* pauperis (ECF No. 1) is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court must detach and separately file Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 1-1).